

2000]Primary:14H60;Secondary:14F05, 32L10

MAXIMAL SUBBUNDLES AND GROMOV-WITTEN INVARIANTS

H. LANGE AND P.E. NEWSTEAD

To C. S. Seshadri on his 70th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let C be a nonsingular irreducible projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over the complex numbers. Suppose that $1 \leq n' \leq n-1$ and $n'd - nd' = n'(n-n')(g-1)$. It is known that, for the general vector bundle E of rank n and degree d , the maximal degree of a subbundle of E of rank n' is d' and that there are finitely many such subbundles. We obtain a formula for the number of these maximal subbundles when $(n', d') = 1$. For $g = 2$, $n' = 2$, we evaluate this formula explicitly. The numbers computed here are Gromov-Witten invariants in the sense of a recent paper of Ch. Okonek and A. Teleman (to appear in Commun. Math. Phys.) and our results answer a question raised in that paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a non-singular irreducible projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$, defined over the complex numbers, and let E be a vector bundle over C of rank n and degree d . In describing the structure of E , it is important to consider the set of subbundles E' of E of any fixed rank n' and degree d' . In particular, for any n' , there is a maximum value of d' for which such subbundles exist; the corresponding subbundles are called *maximal subbundles* of E . It is convenient here to write

$$s(E, E') = n'd - nd'.$$

We can then put

$$s_{n'}(E) = \min s(E, E'),$$

the minimum being taken over all subbundles E' of rank n' . A subbundle E' is then a maximal subbundle if and only if $s(E, E') = s_{n'}(E)$.

Note in particular that the bundle E is stable if and only if $s_{n'}(E) > 0$ for all n' , $1 \leq n' \leq n-1$. In general we call the $s_{n'}(E)$ *degrees of stability* and note that, for each n' , we can define a stratification of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ of stable bundles of rank n and degree d by the locally closed subsets

$$U_{n',s}(n, d) = \{E \in \mathcal{M}(n, d) | s_{n'}(E) = s\}.$$

Note that $U_{n',s}(n, d)$ can be non-empty only if $s > 0$ and $s \equiv n'd \pmod{n}$.

It was proved in [11] that $s_{n'}(E) \leq n'(n-n')g$ and in fact it is known [6, Théorème 4.4] that the maximum value of s that occurs is given by

$$s = n'(n-n')(g-1) + \varepsilon,$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* [.

Key words and phrases. vector bundle, subbundle.

Supported by DFG Contracts Ba 423/8-1. Both authors are members of the research group VBAC (Vector Bundles on Algebraic Curves), which is partially supported by EAGER (EC FP5 Contract no. HPRN-CT-2000-00099) and by EDGE (EC FP5 Contract no. HPRN-CT-2000-00101).

where ε is the unique integer $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq n - 1$ such that $s \equiv n'd \pmod{n}$. The corresponding $U_{n',s}(n, d)$ is the unique open stratum of the stratification.

When $s = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$, a dimension counting argument shows that one can expect a general $E \in \mathcal{M}(n, d)$ to have a finite number $m_{n'}(E)$ of maximal subbundles of rank n' , the number being independent of E provided that it is chosen sufficiently generally. In the simplest case, when $n' = 1$, the number is known; in fact,

$$m_1(E) = n^g$$

(see [5, 9, 17] for $n = 2$, [15] and [14, Proposition 3.9] in general).

Our object in this paper is to obtain a formula for $m_{n'}(E)$ in the case $(n', d') = 1$. Indeed we use Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch and the Porteous formula to express $\mathcal{M}(n', d')$ as the top Chern class of a certain virtual bundle (Theorem 3.1). When $g = 2$ and $n' = 2$, we evaluate this Chern class and give an explicit formula for $m_2(E)$ (Theorem 4.1).

Our result can be interpreted in terms of Gromov-Witten theory. Indeed, in [14], the formula for $m_{n'}(E)$ is obtained as an application of a theorem on Gromov-Witten invariants, and the question of computing these invariants when $n' > 1$ is raised. Our results give an answer to this question. Our methods, however, are similar to those of [15]. Notice that these invariants were also defined in the last section of [3].

It should also be noted that there is a mistake in [16], where it is claimed that, when $s \leq n'(n - n')(g - 1)$, the general bundle in $U_{n',s}(n, d)$ has only one maximal subbundle of rank n' . For $s = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$, this is not correct, and indeed the proof given in [16] fails also for $s < n'(n - n')(g - 1)$. However the result itself is true in this case; we provide a proof of this in Theorem 2.3. It should be emphasised that this does not invalidate any other result in [16].

The research for this paper was carried out during a visit by the second author to the Mathematisches Institut der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg in October/November 2001. He is grateful to the DFG for funding this visit and to the Institut for its hospitality.

2. UNIQUENESS OF MAXIMAL SUBBUNDLES

According to [16, Theorem 0.1] $U_{n',s}(n, d)$ is locally closed in $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ and irreducible of dimension

$$\dim U_{n',s}(n, d) = (n^2 - n'(n - n'))(g - 1) + s + 1.$$

Hence it makes sense to speak of a general vector bundle in $U_{n',s}(n, d)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be a general bundle in $U_{n',s}(n, d)$ with $s \leq n'(n - n')(g - 1)$. Then E possesses only finitely many maximal subbundles E' . For any one of them both E' and $E'' = E/E'$ are general (as points of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(n', d')$ and $\mathcal{M}(n - n', d - d')$ respectively).*

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.1 has been proved in [16, Claim p. 495 and Lemma 1.4]. Similar statements have been given in [4, 6, 8]. We include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proof. We show first that E' and E'' are both general. If not, then either E' or E'' (or both) depends on fewer parameters than the dimension of the corresponding moduli space; this is true irrespective of whether E' and E'' are stable. Note also that $h^0(E''^* \otimes E') = 0$ since E is stable. So the nontrivial extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E'' \longrightarrow 0$$

depend on a number ν of parameters with

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &< \dim \mathcal{M}(n', d') + \dim \mathcal{M}(n - n', d - d') + h^1(E''^* \otimes E') - 1 \\ &= (g - 1)(n'^2 + (n - n')^2 + n'(n - n')) + s + 1 \\ &= (g - 1)(n^2 - n'(n - n')) + s + 1 \\ &= \dim U_{n', s}(n, d). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the generality of E .

If the general E in $U_{n', s}(n, d)$ possesses infinitely many maximal subbundles, then the same inequality holds, again a contradiction. $\square$

If $s < n'(n - n')(g - 1)$, we can be more precise:

Theorem 2.3. *A general vector bundle $E \in U_{n', s}(n, d)$ with $s < n'(n - n')(g - 1)$ admits only one maximal subbundle of rank n' .*

Proof. For convenience we write $n'' = n - n'$, $d'' = d - d'$. Passing if necessary to the dual bundle, we may assume that $n' \leq n''$. Moreover assume first, slightly more generally, that $s \leq n'(n - n')(g - 1)$, since we want to deduce also something for $s = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$.

Fix a maximal subbundle $E' \in \mathcal{M}(n', d')$ of E and write $E'' = E/E' \in \mathcal{M}(n'', d'')$. So

$$s = n'd'' - n''d'.$$

Suppose F is a second maximal subbundle of E . The composed map $\varphi : F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow E''$ is nonzero. Let $G \subset E''$ be its (sheaf theoretic) image. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & F & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E' & \rightarrow & \tilde{E} & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E' & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & E'' & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the middle exact sequence is the push out of the upper exact sequence and the pull back of the lower sequence and the composition $F \rightarrow E''$ is the map φ . Note that i and j are injective (as maps of sheaves). Conversely, given such a diagram with $rk(F) = n'$ and $deg(F) = d'$, then F is a second maximal subbundle of E of rank n' . Let n_G and d_G denote the rank and degree of G , $1 \leq n_G \leq n'$.

Let $A_{n_G, d_G}(E'')$ denote the set of subsheaves of E'' of rank n_G and degree d_G , and define similarly $A_{n_H, d_H}(E')$. Note that $A_{n_G, d_G}(E'')$ and $A_{n_H, d_H}(E')$ can be given a scheme structure by identifying them with Quot schemes. Finally denote by i^* the

pull back map $H^1(E''^* \otimes E') \rightarrow H^1(G^* \otimes E')$. According to the above remarks, it suffices to show that, if $s < n'(n - n')(g - 1)$, then

$$\dim A_{n_G, d_G}(E'') + \dim A_{n_H, d_H}(E') + h^1(G^* \otimes H) + \dim \text{Ker}(i^*) < h^1(E''^* \otimes E') \quad (1)$$

But, by Lemma 2.1 and [16, Theorem 0.2],

$$\begin{aligned} \dim A_{n_G, d_G}(E'') &= n_G d'' - n'' d_G - n_G(n'' - n_G)(g - 1) \\ \dim A_{n_H, d_H}(E') &= n' d_G - n_G d' - (n' - n_G)n_G(g - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since i^* is surjective and $h^0(G^* \otimes H) = 0$, F being stable,

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(G^* \otimes H) &= n' d_G - n_G d' + n_G(n' - n_G)(g - 1) \\ \dim \text{Ker}(i^*) &\leq n'(n'' - n_G)(g - 1) + s + n_G d' - n' d_G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence inequality (1) would follow from

$$(n' n'' + n_G(n_G - n' - n''))(g - 1) + n_G d'' - n'' d_G + n' d_G - n_G d' + s < n' n''(g - 1) + s$$

which is equivalent to

$$n_G(n_G - n' - n'')(g - 1) + n_G(d'' - d') + (n' - n'')d_G < 0. \quad (2)$$

But Lemma 2.1 implies that $n_G(n' - n_G)(g - 1) \leq s_{n' - n_G}(F) \leq n' d_G - n_G d'$ which gives

$$d_G \geq \frac{n_G}{n'} [(n' - n_G)(g - 1) + d'].$$

Hence the left hand side of (2) is less than or equal to (here we use the fact that $n' \leq n''$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{n_G}{n'} [n''(n_G - 2n')(g - 1) + d''n' - n''d'] \\ &= \frac{n_G}{n'} [n''(n_G - n')(g - 1) + s - n'n''(g - 1)] \\ &\leq \frac{n_G}{n'} [s - n'n''(g - 1)] \quad (\text{since } n_G \leq n') \\ &< 0 \quad \text{for } s < n'n''(g - 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose now $s = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$. According to Lemma 2.1 there are only finitely many maximal subbundles for general E . We obtain as a consequence of the proof of Theorem 2.3

Proposition 2.4. *Let E be a general vector bundle on C with $s_{n'}(E) = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$ and $n' \leq (n - n')$. If E' and F are 2 maximal subbundles of rank n' of E , the composed map $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/E'$ is injective.*

Proof. For the proof just note that in the proof of Theorem 2.3 all inequalities have to be equalities. In particular we must have $n_G = n'$, which implies the assertion. □

3. MAXIMAL SUBBUNDLES OF GENERAL BUNDLES

Let E be a general stable bundle of rank n and degree d on C and let n' be an integer, $1 \leq n' \leq n-1$, such that

$$s_{n'}(E) = n'(n - n')(g - 1) = n'd - nd'.$$

We know by Lemma 2.1 that E has only a finite number of maximal subbundles. Our object in this section is to obtain a formula for this number when $(n', d') = 1$.

We denote by $M_{n'}(E)$ the set of maximal subbundles of E of rank n' . This set can be given a scheme structure by identifying it with Grothendieck's scheme $\text{Quot}_{n-n', d''}(E)$ of quotients of E of rank $n - n'$ and degree

$$d'' = d - d' = \frac{1}{n}((n - n')d + s_{n'}(E))$$

Now let J denote the Jacobian of C consisting of line bundles of degree 0 on C , and let M_0 denote the moduli space of stable bundles of rank n' and with fixed determinant of degree d' on C . The map

$$\pi : J \times M_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(n', d') : (L, F) \longmapsto L \otimes F$$

is an unramified covering of degree n'^{2g} .

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote a Poincaré bundle on $C \times J$ and $\mathcal{U}$ a universal bundle on $C \times M_0$ (recall that we are assuming $(n', d') = 1$, so $\mathcal{U}$ exists). Let K denote the canonical bundle on C and $q : C \times J \times M_0 \longrightarrow J \times M_0$ and $p : C \times J \times M_0 \longrightarrow C$ be the canonical projections. We denote the pullbacks of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ to $C \times J \times M_0$ by the same symbols and the restrictions of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ to $\{x\} \times J \times M_0$ by $\mathcal{U}_x$ and $\mathcal{L}_x$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E be a general bundle of rank n and degree d , and n' an integer with $1 \leq n' \leq n-1$. Suppose that $n'd - nd' = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$ and that $(n', d') = 1$. Then the number of maximal subbundles of E of rank n' is given by*

$$m_{n'}(E) = \frac{1}{n'^{2g}} c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E})[J \times M_0]$$

where $\mathcal{E} = q_*(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes p^*(E \otimes K))$ and $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{x \in D} (\mathcal{U}_x^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_x^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, where D is a smooth canonical divisor on C .

Proof. Note first that the conclusion is equivalent to

$$\#\pi^{-1}(M_{n'}(E)) = c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E})[J \times M_0].$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes p^*E \xrightarrow{\otimes D} \mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes p^*(E \otimes K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^*|_{p^*(D)} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow 0$$

where D denotes any smooth canonical divisor. Note that for any maximal subbundle E' of E ,

$$\deg(E'^* \otimes E \otimes K) = n'd - nd' + nn'(2g - 2) > nn'(2g - 2).$$

Since any such E' is stable by Lemma 2.1, $E'^* \otimes E \otimes K$ is semistable, so

$$H^1(E'^* \otimes E \otimes K) = 0.$$

Hence $R^1q_*(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes p^*(E \otimes K)) = 0$ and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow q_*(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes p^*E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow R^1q_*(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes p^*E) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ as in the statement of the theorem. Note that, by Riemann-Roch,

$$\begin{aligned} rk \mathcal{E} &= n'd - nd' + nn'(g-1) \\ &= 2nn'(g-1) - n'^2(g-1) \\ &= rk \mathcal{F} - n'^2(g-1). \end{aligned}$$

Claim: $\pi^{-1}(M_{n'}(E)) = \{(L, F) \in J \times M_0 | rk \varphi_{(L,F)} \leq rk \mathcal{E} - 1\}$.

The claim is a consequence of the following lemma and Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let E be a general bundle. Suppose $n'd - nd' = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$ and let E' be a stable bundle of rank n' and degree d' . Then any nonzero homomorphism $E' \rightarrow E$ is an injection onto a subbundle of E . Moreover $h^0(E'^* \otimes E) = 1$.*

Proof. If $\psi : E' \rightarrow E$ is injective then $Im\psi$ must be saturated since it has the same degree as a maximal subbundle of E . Suppose that ψ is not injective and let $E_1 = Im\psi$. Since E' is stable, we have

$$\frac{d_1}{n_1} > \frac{d'}{n'} = \frac{d}{n} - \frac{n - n'}{n}(g - 1) > \frac{d}{n} - \frac{n - n_1}{n}(g - 1),$$

contradicting the generality of E . Finally, if $h^0(E'^* \otimes E) \geq 2$, the morphism

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(E'^* \otimes E)) \rightarrow M_{n'}(E)$$

is non-constant, since E' is stable. So $M_{n'}(E)$ is infinite, another contradiction. $\square$

This establishes the claim. It follows by the Porteous formula (see [1, p.86]) that the fundamental class of $\pi^{-1}(M_{n'}(E))$ in $H^*(J \times M_0, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$[\pi^{-1}(M_{n'}(E))] = c_{top}(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E})[J \times M_0].$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, we must show that $M_{n'}(E)$ is smooth.

Given the identification of $M_{n'}(E)$ with $Quot_{n-n', d''}(E)$, it is sufficient to prove

Lemma 3.3. *Let E be a general bundle and E' any subbundle of E . Then*

$$H^1(E'^* \otimes E/E') = 0.$$

Proof. (due essentially to Laumon [10], see also [15]). If $H^1(E'^* \otimes E/E') \neq 0$, then by Serre duality there exists a nonzero homomorphism $E/E' \rightarrow E' \otimes K$. We thus have a non-zero homomorphism

$$E \rightarrow E/E' \rightarrow E' \otimes K \rightarrow E \otimes K.$$

This homomorphism is clearly nilpotent. But, since E is general, it is very stable (see [10, Proposition 3.5]), i.e. it admits no nilpotent homomorphism $E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ different from zero. This contradiction establishes the lemma and Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Remark 3.4. It may be of interest to identify the precise generality conditions required on E . In fact we need

- (i) $s_{n'}(E) = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$;
- (ii) $s_{n_1}(E) \geq n_1(n - n_1)(g - 1)$ for $1 \leq n_1 \leq n' - 1$;
- (iii) every maximal subbundle of E of rank n' is stable;
- (iv) $M_{n'}(E)$ is finite;
- (v) E is very stable.

The application of the Porteous formula, however, requires only the assumption that the relevant degeneracy locus of φ is finite and it then gives the length of this locus in its natural scheme structure. In particular, if we assume only conditions (i), (ii) and (iv), the formula counts (with the appropriate multiplicities) the number of stable maximal subbundles of E of rank n' .

Remark 3.5. Since $(n', d') = 1$ and $n'd - nd' = n'(n - n')(g - 1)$, it follows that n' divides n and we can then solve for d in terms of n, n', d' . Theorem 3.1 does therefore give an answer to the question of [14, section 3.4, Remark 4] whenever $(n', d') = 1$ (or, in the notation of [14], $(r, d) = 1$). In [14] there is no smoothness assumption on the Quot scheme, so we require only conditions (i)–(iv) of Remark 3.4; the formula of Theorem 3.1 then gives the length of the finite scheme $\text{Quot}_{n-n', d''}(E)$.

Remark 3.6. To apply this theorem, we note that the Chern classes of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are well known (see [2] for $\mathcal{U}$ and [1] for $\mathcal{L}$). From this the Chern character of $\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes p^*(E \otimes K)$ and hence, using Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch, of $\mathcal{E}$ can be computed. The Chern character of $\mathcal{F}$ is also easily computed, hence that of $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E}$. Converting this to Chern classes, we obtain $c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E})$. We now need to know only the intersection numbers of $J \times M_0$. In principle, these can be calculated. In practice, it may be a difficult computation. In the final section of this paper, we will carry out the computation in the simplest case not previously known.

One could of course have stated the theorem using the cohomology of $M(n', d')$ rather than that of $J \times M_0$. We have chosen the latter, because (at least in the case $n' = 2$) it is easier to work with.

4. THE CASE $g = 2, n' = 2$

Theorem 4.1. *Let E be a general bundle of rank n and degree d on a curve C of genus 2. Suppose that $n \geq 4$ is even and $2d + 4 \equiv 0 \pmod n$ with $\frac{2d+4}{n}$ odd. Then*

$$m_2(E) = \frac{n^3}{48}(n^2 + 2).$$

Remark 4.2. Note that the right hand side of this expression is always a positive integer for n even. The numerical conditions are precisely what is needed to allow d' to be odd. When they are satisfied we can take d' to be any odd integer and then

$$d = \frac{n}{2}d' + n - 2.$$

Before starting on the proof, we recall some properties of the cohomology ring $H^*(C \times J \times M_0, \mathbb{Z})$. The abelian group $H^1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ has a natural symplectic structure. Let f denote the positive generator of $H^2(C, \mathbb{Z})$. We can then choose a symplectic basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 for $H^1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$e_1 e_3 = e_2 e_4 = -f \tag{1}$$

and all the other products $e_i e_j$ for $i < j$ are 0. On $C \times J$ we can normalise $\mathcal{L}$ so that

$$c(\mathcal{L}) = 1 + \xi_1 \tag{2}$$

where $\xi_1 \in H^1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^1(J, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(C \times J)$ can be written as

$$\xi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \otimes \varphi_i \quad (3)$$

with $\varphi_i \in H^1(J, \mathbb{Z})$. We have (see [1, p.335])

$$\xi_1^2 = -2\theta f, \quad \theta^2[J] = 2. \quad (4)$$

The variety M_0 is given by the intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}_5$ (see [12]). From this we can see that its cohomology groups are

$$\mathbb{Z}, 0, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^4, \mathbb{Z}, 0, \mathbb{Z}.$$

If α is the positive generator of $H^2(M_0, \mathbb{Z})$, then $\alpha^3[M_0] = 4$, so by (4)

$$\alpha^3\theta^2[J \times M_0] = 8. \quad (5)$$

Taking $d' = 1$ and normalising as in [13] we have

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}) = \alpha + f, \quad c_2(\mathcal{U}) = \chi + \xi_2 + \alpha f \quad (6)$$

with $\chi \in H^4(M_0, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\xi_2 = H^1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^3(M_0, \mathbb{Z})$. In the notation of [13] and [7], $4\chi = \alpha^2 - \beta$ and we write

$$\xi_2^2 = \gamma f, \quad \gamma \in H^6(M_0, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (7)$$

Moreover, when $g = 2$, the relations of the Theorem of [7] give

$$\alpha^2 + \beta = 0, \quad \alpha^3 + 5\alpha\beta + 4\gamma = 0.$$

Hence $\beta = -\alpha^2$, $\gamma = \alpha^3$, and we have from (6)

$$c_2(\mathcal{U}) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + \xi_2 + \alpha f, \quad \xi_2^2 = \alpha^3 f. \quad (8)$$

We define also $\Lambda \in H^1(J, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^3(M_0, \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\xi_1 \xi_2 = \Lambda f. \quad (9)$$

In $H^*(C \times J \times M_0, \mathbb{Z})$, we have for dimensional reasons (noting that $H^5(M_0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$) that the following classes are all zero:

$$f^2, \xi_1^3, \alpha^4, \xi_1 f, \xi_2 f, \alpha \xi_2, \alpha \Lambda, \theta^2 \Lambda. \quad (10)$$

Finally we need to compute $\theta \Lambda^2$. We can write

$$\xi_2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \otimes \psi_i \quad \text{with } \psi_i \in H^3(M_0, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Using this, (1) and (3), we have

$$\xi_1 \xi_2 = (\varphi_1 \psi_3 + \varphi_2 \psi_4 - \varphi_3 \psi_1 - \varphi_4 \psi_2) f.$$

So by (9)

$$\Lambda = \varphi_1 \psi_3 + \varphi_2 \psi_4 - \varphi_3 \psi_1 - \varphi_4 \psi_2.$$

Also from (3) and (4),

$$\theta = -\varphi_1 \varphi_3 - \varphi_2 \varphi_4.$$

Hence $\theta^2 = 2\varphi_1 \varphi_3 \varphi_2 \varphi_4$, so by (4)

$$\varphi_1 \varphi_3 \varphi_2 \varphi_4[J] = 1.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^2 &= -2\varphi_1\varphi_2\psi_3\psi_4 + 2\varphi_1\varphi_3\psi_3\psi_1 + 2\varphi_1\varphi_4\psi_3\psi_2 \\ &\quad + 2\varphi_2\varphi_3\psi_4\psi_1 + 2\varphi_2\varphi_4\psi_4\psi_2 - 2\varphi_3\varphi_4\psi_1\psi_2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\theta\Lambda^2 &= -2\varphi_1\varphi_3\varphi_2\varphi_4\psi_4\psi_2 - 2\varphi_2\varphi_4\varphi_1\varphi_3\psi_3\psi_1 \\ &= \theta^2(\psi_1\psi_3 + \psi_2\psi_4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\gamma \quad (\text{by (7)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\alpha^3 \quad (\text{since } \gamma = \alpha^3).\end{aligned}$$

So by (5)

$$\theta\Lambda^2[J \times M_0] = 4. \quad (11)$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Tensoring by a line bundle over X if necessary, we can suppose $d' = 1$. By (2) and (4) we have

$$ch(\mathcal{L}) = 1 + \xi_1 - \theta f$$

while by (6), (8) and (10)

$$ch(\mathcal{U}) = 2 + (\alpha + f) + (-\xi_2) + \left(-\frac{1}{12}\alpha^3 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2 f\right).$$

Moreover

$$ch(p^*(E \otimes K)) \cdot p^*td(C) = n + \left(\frac{5}{2}n - 2\right) f.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}ch(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes p^*(E \otimes K)) \cdot p^*td(C) &= 2n + [(4n - 4)f - n\alpha - 2n\xi_1] \\ &\quad + \left[\left(-\left(\frac{5}{2}n - 2\right)\alpha - 2n\theta\right)f + n\alpha\xi_1 - n\xi_2\right] \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{n}{4}\alpha^2 + n\Lambda + n\alpha\theta\right)f + \frac{n}{12}\alpha^3\right] \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{5}{24}n - \frac{1}{6}\right)\alpha^3 f - \frac{n}{12}\alpha^3\xi_1\right] + \left[-\frac{n}{12}\alpha^3\theta f\right].\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch

$$\begin{aligned}ch(\mathcal{E}) &= 4n - 4 + \left[-\left(\frac{5}{2}n - 2\right)\alpha - 2n\theta\right] + \left[\frac{n}{4}\alpha^2 + n\Lambda + n\alpha\theta\right] \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{5}{24}n - \frac{1}{6}\right)\alpha^3\right] + \left[-\frac{n}{12}\alpha^3\theta\right].\end{aligned}$$

From (6) and (8) we obtain easily

$$ch(\mathcal{F}) = 4n - 2n\alpha + \frac{n}{6}\alpha^3.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} ch(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E}) = 4 + & \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}n - 2 \right) \alpha + 2n\theta \right] + \left[-\frac{n}{4}\alpha^2 - n\Lambda - n\alpha\theta \right] \\ & + \left[\left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{24}n \right) \alpha^3 \right] + \left[\frac{n}{12}\alpha^3\theta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Converting this into Chern classes, we obtain

$$c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E}) = c_5(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E}) = \left(\frac{1}{24}n^5 - \frac{5}{12}n^3 \right) \alpha^3\theta^2 + n^3\theta\Lambda^2.$$

By (5) and (11), we get

$$c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{E})[J \times M_0] = \frac{1}{3}n^5 + \frac{2}{3}n^3$$

and Theorem 4.1 follows from Theorem 3.1. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths, P.A., Harris, J.: Geometry of Algebraic Curves. Grundlehren **267**, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [2] Atiyah, M.F., Bott, R.: The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A **308** (1982), 523-615.
- [3] Behrend, K.: Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry. Invent. Math. **127** (1997), 601-617.
- [4] Brambila-Paz, L., Lange, H.: A stratification of the moduli space of vector bundles on curves. J. Reine Angew. Math. **499** (1998), 173-187.
- [5] Ghione, F.: Quelques résultats de Corrado Segre sur les surfaces réglées. Math. Ann. **255** (1981), 77-96.
- [6] Hirschowitz, A.: Problèmes de Brill-Noether en rang supérieur. Prépublications Mathématiques n. 91, Nice (1986).
- [7] King, A.D., Newstead, P.E.: On the cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank 2 vector bundles on a curve. Topology **37** (1998), 407-418.
- [8] Lange, H.: Zur Klassifikation von Regelmannigfaltigkeiten. Math. Ann. **262** (1983), 447-459.
- [9] Lange, H.: Höhere Sekantenvarietäten und Vektorbündel auf Kurven. Manuscr. math. **52** (1985), 63-80.
- [10] Laumon, G.: Un analogue global du cône nilpotent. Duke Math. J. **57** (1988), 647-671.
- [11] Mukai, S., Sakai, F.: Maximal subbundles of vector bundles on a curve. Manuscr. math. **52** (1985), 251-256.
- [12] Newstead, P.E.: Stable bundles of rank 2 and odd degree over a curve of genus 2. Topology **7** (1968), 205-215.
- [13] Newstead, P.E.: Characteristic classes of stable bundles of rank 2 over an algebraic curve. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **169** (1972), 337-345.
- [14] Okonek, Ch., Teleman, A.: Gauge theoretical equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants and the full Seiberg-Witten invariants of ruled surfaces. To appear in Commun. Math. Phys.
- [15] Oxbury, W.M.: Varieties of maximal line subbundles. Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **129** (2000), 9-18.
- [16] Russo, B., Teixidor i Bigas, M.: On a conjecture of Lange. J. Alg. Geom. **8** (1999), 483-496.
- [17] Segre, C.: Recherches générales sur les courbes et les surfaces réglées algébriques II. Math. Ann. **34** (1889), 1-25.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG, BISMARCKSTRASSE 1 $\frac{1}{2}$,
D-91054 ERLANGEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `lange@mi.uni-erlangen.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL, PEACH STREET,
LIVERPOOL L69 7ZL, U.K.

E-mail address: `newstead@liv.ac.uk`